1 2 3 4 5	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER, State Bar No. 101336 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3037
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	
. 10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
. 11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2009-129
	KAREN DIANE ALISON MESSICK, a.k.a. KAREN DIANA MESSICK ACCUSATION
15	P.O. Box 693 Perris, CA 92572
16	Registered Nurse License No. 469741
17	Respondent.
18	·
19	Complainant alleges:
20	<u>PARTIES</u>
21	1. Ruth Ann Terry, M.P.H., R.N. ("Complainant") brings this Accusation
22	solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing
23	("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.
24	2. On or about August 31, 1991, the Board issued Registered Nurse License
25	Number 469741 to Karen Diane Alison Messick, also known as Karen Diana Messick
26	("Respondent"). Respondent's registered nurse license was in full force and effect at all times
27	relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2009, unless renewed.
28	

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 2750 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 4. Code section 2764 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under Code section 2811, subdivision (b), the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
 - 5. Code section 2761 states, in pertinent part:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

(a) Unprofessional conduct . . .

, 1

(f) Conviction of a felony or of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof...

6. Code section 2762 states, in pertinent part:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

- (a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.
- (b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.

28 | //

2

1 2

3

4

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

2627

21

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Ï
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

28

Н

(c) Be convicted of a criminal offense involving the prescription, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances described in subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section, or the possession of, or falsification of a record pertaining to, the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section, in which event the record of the conviction is conclusive evidence thereof.

(e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section.

7. Code section 2765 states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a registered nurse is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board may order the license or certificate suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license or certificate, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

8. Code section 2770.11 states:

- (a) Each registered nurse who requests participation in a diversion program shall agree to cooperate with the rehabilitation program designed by a committee. Any failure to comply with the provisions of a rehabilitation program may result in termination of the registered nurse's participation in a program. The name and license number of a registered nurse who is terminated for any reason, other than successful completion, shall be reported to the board's enforcement program.
- (b) If a committee determines that a registered nurse, who is denied admission into the program or terminated from the program, presents a threat to the public or his or her own health and safety, the committee shall report the name and license number, along with a copy of all diversion records for that registered nurse, to the board's enforcement program. The board may use any of the records it receives under this subdivision in any disciplinary proceeding.

9. Code section 4060 states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a

RESPONDENT'S TERMINATION FROM BOARD'S <u>DIVERSION PROGRAM AS A PUBLIC SAFETY RISK</u>

19. On March 8, 2007, Respondent was enrolled in the Board's diversion program. On March 26, 2007, and April 11, 2007, Respondent tested positive for oxazepam, and was mandated to enter a residential chemical dependency treatment program. Respondent refused to enter residential treatment and was terminated from the diversion program on April 23, 2007. On or about May 22, 2007, Respondent was re-admitted to the diversion program. On July 5, 2007, the Diversion Evaluation Committee terminated Respondent from the diversion program as a public safety risk due to Respondent's history of drug use, diversion from the workplace, positive drug screens, numerous unsuccessful chemical dependency treatment programs, non-compliance with the diversion program, and refusal to enter residential treatment.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (t), in that on or about September 26, 2002, in the criminal proceeding titled *People v. Karen Diana Messick* (Super. Ct. Riverside County, 2002, Case No. SWM004936), Respondent pled guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (driving a vehicle while having .08 percent and more, by weight, of alcohol in his/her blood, a misdemeanor), a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse. The incident on which Respondent's conviction is based occurred on or about May 24, 2002.

22 | /

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Diversion, Possession, and Self-Administration of Controlled Substances)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section 2762, subdivision (a), in that while licensed as a registered nurse, Respondent did the following:

Diversion of Controlled Substances:

a. On or about February 21, 2007, while on duty as a registered nurse in the Progressive Care Unit at Riverside County Regional Medical Center, Moreno Valley, California, Respondent obtained the controlled substance Dilaudid by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), as follows: On the date indicated above, patient 1 requested medication for her abdominal pain (the patient had an order for Dilaudid 1 mg every 3 hours). At approximately 2304 hours, Respondent removed a via! of 2 mg Dilaudid from the Pyxis under the patient's name. In fact, Respondent was not assigned to the patient, had not been requested by the patient's assigned nurse to administer the medication, and kept the Dilaudid for her personal use. Further, when Respondent iearned that the patient denied receiving the medication, Respondent misrepresented to medical center staff that she administered the Dilaudid to the patient, and falsified the Medication Administration Record ("MAR") to conceal her diversion of the Dilaudid, as set forth in subparagraph 24 (a) below.

1.

Possession of Controlled Substances:

b. On or about February 21, 2007, Respondent possessed a vial of 2 mg Dilaudid, as set forth in subparagraph (a) above, without a valid prescription from a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor, in violation of Code section 4060.

Self-Administration of Controlled Substances:

c. On or about March 26, 2007, and April 11, 2007, while enrolled in the Board's diversion program, Respondent self-administered unknown quantities of the controlled substance oxazepam without lawful authority therefor.

1	d. On or before July 22, 2008, Respondent self-administered unknown
2	quantities of the controlled substances Restoril and Vicodin without lawful authority therefor, as
3	follows: On the date indicated above, an investigator with the Division of Investigation,
4	Department of Consumer Affairs, requested that Respondent voluntarily provide a urine sample
5	for drug testing. Respondent refused, then admitted to the investigator that she had taken
6	Restoril and Vicodin a few days earlier when she did not have a prescription for either
7	medication.
8	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Use of Alcoholic Beverages to an Extent or in a Manner
10	Dangerous or Injurious to Oneself or Others)
11	22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section
12	2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section
13	2762, subdivision (b), in that on or about May 24, 2002, Respondent consumed alcoholic
.14	beverages to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself and/or others, as set forth
15	in paragraph 29 above.
16	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Conviction Related to the Consumption of Alcoholic Beverages)
18	23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section
19	2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section
20	2762, subdivision (c), in that on or about September 26, 2002, Respondent was convicted of a
21	criminal offense involving the consumption of alcoholic beverages, as set forth in paragraph 20
22	above.
23	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
24	(False Entries in Hospital/Patient Records)
25	24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section
26	2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section

2762, subdivision (e), in that in or about January 2007, and February 2007, while on duty as a

registered nurse in the Progressive Care Unit at Riverside County Regional Medical Center,

27

28

///

Moreno Valley, California, Respondent falsified, or made grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in hospital, patient, or other records pertaining to the controlled substances Dilaudid, Restoril, morphine, Vicodin, and Percocet, as follows:

Patient 1:

a. On February 21, 2007, at approximately 2304 hours, Respondent withdrew 2 mg Dilaudid from the Pyxis under patient 1's name when, in fact, Respondent was not assigned to the patient, and had not been requested by the patient's assigned nurse to administer the medication. Further, Respondent charted in the patient's MAR that she administered the Dilaudid to the patient when, in fact, Respondent kept the Dilaudid for her personal use.

Patient 3:

b. On January 23, 2007, at 0324 hours, Respondent withdrew Restoril 15 mg from the Pyxis under patient 3's name, but failed to chart the administration of the Restoril on the patient's MAR and otherwise account for the disposition of the Restoril 15 mg.

Patient 4:

c. On January 23, 2007, at 0049 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 4's name, but failed to chart the administration of the Dilaudid on the patient's MAR and otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid 2 mg.

Patient 6:

d. On January 23, 2007, at approximately 0202 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 6's name, documented in the Pyxis that she administered 1.5 mg Dilaudid to the patient and wasted the remaining .5 mg, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Dilaudid on the patient's MAR or otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid 2 mg.

Patient 7:

e. On February 20, 2007, at 0324 hours, Respondent withdrew Morphine

2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 7's name, documented in the Pyxis that she administered 1 mg

morphine to the patient and wasted the remaining 1 mg, but failed to chart the administration or

wastage of the morphine on the patient's MAR and/or otherwise account for the disposition of the morphine 2 mg.

Patient 8:

- f. On February 8, 2007, at 2319 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 8's name. Respondent charted on the patient's MAR that she administered 1 mg Dilaudid to the patient at 0020 hours, and failed to chart the wastage of the remaining 1 mg Dilaudid on the patient's MAR or otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid 1 mg.
- g. On February 9, 2007, at 0221 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 8's name, charted on the patient's MAR that she administered 1 mg Dilaudid to the patient at 0400 hours, but failed to chart the wastage of the remaining 1 mg Dilaudid on the patient's MAR or otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid'1 mg.
- h On February 9, 2007, at 0510 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 8's name, documented in the Pyxis that she administered 1 mg Dilaudid to the patient and wasted the remaining 1 mg, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Dilaudid on the patient's MAR or otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid 2 mg.

Patient 10:

- i. On January 28, 2007, at 1928 hours, Respondent withdrew morphine 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 10's name, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the morphine on the patient's MAR and otherwise account for the disposition of the morphine 2 mg.
- j. On January 28, 2007, at approximately 2330 hours, Respondent withdrew two Vicodin tablets from the Pyxis under patient 10's name, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Vicodin on the patient's MAR and otherwise account for the disposition of the two tablets of Vicodin.

Patient 11:

k. On January 28, 2007, at approximately 2130 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 11's name, documented in the Pyxis that she

administered .5 mg Dilaudid to the patient and wasted the remaining 1.5 mg, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Dilaudid on the patient's MAR or otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid 2 mg.

l. On January 29, 2007, at approximately 2013 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 11's name, documented in the Pyxis that she administered .5 mg Dilaudid to the patient and wasted the remaining 1.5 mg, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Dilaudid on the patient's MAR or otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid 2 mg.

Patient 12:

m. On January 29, 2007, at 0016 hours, Respondent withdrew one Vicodin tablet from the Pyxis under patient 12's name, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Vicodin on the patient's MAR and otherwise account for the disposition of the one Vicodin tablet.

Patient 13:

- On February 1, 2007, at approximately 0323 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 13's name, documented in the Pyxis that she administered 1 mg Dilaudid to the patient and wasted the remaining 1 mg, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Dilaudid on the patient's MAR or otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid 2 mg.
- o. On February 1, 2007, at approximately 0652 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from the Pyxis under patient 13's name, documented in the Pyxis that she administered 1 mg Dilaudid to the patient and wasted the remaining 1 mg, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Dilaudid on the patient's MAR or otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid 2 mg.

Patient 14:

p. On February 8, 2007, at 2359 hours, Respondent withdrew one Percocet tablet from the Pyxis under patient 14's name, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of

1	the Percocet on the patient's MAR and otherwise account for the disposition of the one Percocet
2	tablet.
3	Patient 15:
4	q. On February 8, 2007, at 2039 hours, Respondent withdrew morphine 2 mg
5	from the Pyxis under patient 15's name, but failed to chart the administration or wastage of the
6	morphine on the patient's MAR and otherwise account for the disposition of the morphine 2 mg.
7	<u>PRAYER</u>
8	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
9	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:
10	1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 469741, issued
-11	to Karen Diane Alison Messick, also known as Karen Diana Messick;
1.2	2. Ordering Karen Diane Alison Messick, also known as Karen Diana
13	Messick, to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and
14	enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
15	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
16	
17	DATED: 11/26/08
18	Pute Dan I
19	RUTH ANN TERRY, M.P.H., R.N. Executive Officer
20	Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs
21	State of California
22	Complainant
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
~~	
[]	. 11